

## Modeling bomb radiocarbon in the post-bomb era

Ken Caldeira, Greg H. Rau\*, and Philip B. Duffy (Climate System Modeling Group, Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, 7000 East Ave, L-256, Livermore, CA 94550 USA; 1-510-423-4191; e-mail: kenc@llnl.gov; \*also at Institute of Marine Sciences, University of California, Santa Cruz, California 95064 USA)

Many bomb radiocarbon studies have assumed that nuclear-weapons era variation in atmospheric radiocarbon content or  $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$  would have been negligible in the absence of atmospheric nuclear detonations, and that changing atmospheric  $^{12}\text{C}$  content has negligible effect on the air-sea transfer of  $^{14}\text{C}$ . These assumptions were reasonable for simulations of GEOSECS observations. However, to simulate more recent WOCE data at the 10% level of accuracy, a more complete treatment of the carbon system may be necessary.

Several factors, related to fossil fuel burning and deforestation, are redistributing natural  $^{14}\text{C}$  among the atmosphere, ocean and biosphere. As pointed out by Seuss, Keeling and others, the global distribution of radiocarbon is affected by (i)  $^{14}\text{C}$  degassing from the ocean induced by enhanced surface ocean total dissolved inorganic carbon concentrations, (ii) diminished  $^{14}\text{C}$  absorption by the terrestrial biosphere due to uptake by plants of  $\text{CO}_2$  with lower  $^{14}\text{C}/^{12}\text{C}$  ratios, (iii)  $^{14}\text{C}$  released during deforestation, and (iv) non-linear interactions among these factors.

We force models of the atmosphere, ocean and terrestrial biosphere with estimated fossil fuel fluxes, deforestation fluxes and atmospheric  $^{12}\text{CO}_2$  concentration to estimate what atmospheric  $^{14}\text{C}$  content, and  $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ , would have been without nuclear explosions. We find that about one-fourth of the increase in atmospheric  $^{14}\text{CO}_2$  from mid-1945 to the present is due to the factors listed above, with the remaining three-fourths due to nuclear weapons detonations.

Furthermore, enhanced surfaced ocean  $\Sigma\text{CO}_2$  slows oceanic absorption of bomb radiocarbon. A 25% increase in atmospheric  $\text{CO}_2$  content slows the uptake of bomb radiocarbon by ~10%. Also, marine biogeochemophysical processes transport about 10% of the bomb  $^{14}\text{C}$  to the deep ocean. Accuracy at the 10% level requires modeling of marine biogeochemophysical processes.

We used our ocean biogeochemistry model coupled to our version of GFDL's Bryan-Cox model to estimate the magnitude and geographic distribution of  $^{14}\text{CO}_2$  fluxes and inventory changes induced by anthropogenic  $^{12}\text{CO}_2$  and bomb radiocarbon, taken individually. We compare these results with conventional perturbation approaches.

This work was performed under the auspices of the U.S. Department of Energy by the Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory under Contract No. W-7405-Eng-48.

1. 1996 Fall Meeting
2. AGU#007737891
- 3a. Ken Caldeira  
Climate Sys. Mod. Grp.  
LLNL, L-256  
7000 East Ave  
Livermore, CA  
94550
- 3b. (510) 423-4191
- 3c. (510) 422-5844
4. OS
- 5a. OS06, Simulating global c
- 5b. 4500 Oceanography  
4255 Numerical Modelin  
1615 Biogeochem Proc.
- 5c.
- 6.
- 7.
8. 0%
9. \$70 PO Attached
10. C
- 11.
12. Yes, Ocean Sciences
13. No